

| | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------|----------|---------------------|------|
| <p>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p> | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No.</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">10/586,631</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">SUZUKI ET AL</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">1793</td> </tr> </table> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/586,631 | SUZUKI ET AL | Examiner | Art Unit | YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI | 1793 |
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 10/586,631 | SUZUKI ET AL | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | | | |
| YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI | 1793 | | | | | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI.

(2) HARRIS PITLICK.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicants' representative and the examiner discussed amending claims 13 and 24, as described in the Examiner's Amendment, so that claims 13-14 and 24-25 would be patentable.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/YOSHITOSHI TAKEUCHI/

Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)